

ELECTRONIC FILING, RECEIVED, CLERK'S OFFICE, JUNE 7, 2006

IN THE MATTER OF: )  
)  
PROPOSED NEW 35 ILL. ADM. CODE 225 ) R06-25  
CONTROL OF EMISSIONS FROM ) (Rulemaking – Air)  
LARGE COMBUSTION SOURCES(MERCURY) )

**NOTICE**

TO: Dorothy Gunn  
Clerk  
Illinois Pollution Control Board  
James R. Thompson Center  
100 West Randolph St., Suite 11-500  
Chicago, IL 60601-3218

**SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have today filed with the Office of the Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board the ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLIES TO THE RESPONSES OF DYNEGY AND MIDWEST GENERATIONS, AMEREN ENERGY GENERATING COMPANY, AND KINCAID GENERATION LLC TO THE AGENCY'S MOTION TO AMEND RULEMAKING PROPOSAL and REPLIES TO THE RESPONSE OF DYNEGY AND MIDWEST GENERATIONS, AMEREN ENERGY GENERATING COMPANY, AND KINCAID GENERATION LLC TO THE AGENCY'S MOTION TO AMEND RULEMAKING PROPOSAL, a copy of which is herewith served upon you.

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION AGENCY

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Gina Roccaforte  
Assistant Counsel  
Division of Legal Counsel

DATED: June 7, 2006

1021 North Grand Avenue East  
P. O. Box 19276  
Springfield, IL 62794-9276  
217/782-5544

**THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED  
ON RECYCLED PAPER**

**BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD**

IN THE MATTER OF: )  
 ) R06-25  
PROPOSED NEW 35 ILL. ADM. CODE 225 ) (Rulemaking – Air)  
CONTROL OF EMISSIONS FROM )  
LARGE COMBUSTION SOURCES (MERCURY) )

**ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY'S MOTION FOR  
LEAVE TO FILE A REPLY TO THE RESPONSE TO IEPA MOTION TO  
AMEND PROPOSAL**

NOW COMES the Proponent, the ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (Illinois EPA or Agency), by its attorneys, and pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 101.500(e), hereby requests that the Illinois Pollution Control Board (Board) grant the Illinois EPA leave to file a Reply to the Response to IEPA Motion to Amend Proposal (Response) filed by Ameren Energy Generating Company, AmerenEnergy Resources Generating Company, and Electric Energy, Inc. (Respondents) on the basis that the Reply should be considered by the Board to prevent a material prejudice in the consideration of, and ruling upon, the Illinois EPA's Motion to Amend Rulemaking Proposal (Motion). In support of its Reply, the Illinois EPA states as follows:

1. In their Response, the Respondents requested that should the Board allow the amended proposal, that the Board also extend the date for submitting pre-filed testimony before the August 12 hearing to no earlier than July 28. This request, if unresponded to, creates a material prejudice against the Illinois EPA given the November 17, 2006 deadline for submission of a State plan to the United States Environmental Protection Agency under the Clean Air Mercury Rule.

2. The Illinois EPA notes that Respondents do not object to the Motion, thus it should be granted. However, Respondents ask for a modification to the Hearing Officer's schedule for pre-filing testimony, and the Illinois EPA must be allowed an opportunity to respond to such request.

3. The Illinois EPA's Reply, which is filed contemporaneously with this motion for leave to file, is as short and concise as possible so as to not create any further burden upon the Board than is necessary.

4. The Illinois EPA is filing this motion for leave to file and the Reply as expeditiously as possible. The Illinois EPA received service of the Response on June 6, 2006. This motion and the Reply have been prepared and filed quickly so as not to delay the Board's consideration of the pleadings in this case.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above, the Illinois EPA hereby respectfully requests that the Board grant the Illinois EPA leave to file a Reply to the Response.

Respectfully submitted,

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION AGENCY

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Charles E. Matoesian  
Assistant Counsel  
Division of Legal Counsel

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gina Roccaforte  
Assistant Counsel  
Division of Legal Counsel

DATED: June 7, 2006

ELECTRONIC FILING, RECEIVED, CLERK'S OFFICE, JUNE 7, 2006

1021 N. Grand Ave., East  
P.O. Box 19276  
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276  
217/782-5544

**BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD**

IN THE MATTER OF: )  
 ) R06-25  
PROPOSED NEW 35 ILL. ADM. CODE 225 ) (Rulemaking – Air)  
CONTROL OF EMISSIONS FROM )  
LARGE COMBUSTION SOURCES (MERCURY) )

**ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY'S REPLY TO THE  
RESPONSE TO IEPA MOTION TO AMEND PROPOSAL**

NOW COMES the Proponent, the ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (Illinois EPA or Agency), by its attorneys, and pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 101.500(e), hereby replies to the Response to IEPA Motion to Amend Proposal (Response) filed by Ameren Energy Generating Company, AmerenEnergy Resources Generating Company, and Electric Energy, Inc. (Respondents). In support of its Reply, the Illinois EPA states as follows:

1. On May 4, 2006, the Hearing Officer issued an order that set forth prefiled testimony deadlines and ordered that persons wishing to testify at the second hearing scheduled for August 12, 2006, prefile their testimony by July 17, 2006. *See*, Hearing Officer Order, May 4, 2006.
2. On May 23, 2006, the Illinois EPA filed a Motion to Amend Rulemaking Proposal (Motion), Motion for Leave to File Instanter Amended Testimony of James E. Staudt, Ph.D., and Amended Testimony of James E. Staudt, Ph.D.
3. On May 24, 2006, a pre-hearing phone conference was held to attempt to identify and limit issues of disagreement among the participants to promote efficient use of hearing time. *See*, Hearing Officer Order, May 24, 2006. One point of discussion included the necessity of the Amended Testimony of James E. Staudt, Ph.D., to support the Illinois EPA's Motion. The Motion is, at the earliest, scheduled for consideration by the Board at the June 15 Board meeting.

4. The Hearing Officer granted the Illinois EPA's Motion for Leave to File Instanter Amended Testimony of James E. Staudt, Ph.D., and allowed the filing of the Amended Testimony of James E. Staudt, Ph.D.

5. On June 6, 2006, the Respondents filed their Response and did not object to the Illinois EPA's Motion. Rather, the Respondents requested that should the Board allow the amended proposal, that the Board also extend the date for submitting pre-filed testimony before the August 12 hearing to no earlier than July 28.

6. On June 6, 2006, Dynegy Midwest Generation Inc. and Midwest Generation, LLC (Dynegy) also filed a Response to the Illinois EPA's Motion. The relief sought by Dynegy is similar in nature to that sought by the Respondents.

7. On June 6, 2006, Kincaid Generation, LLC (Kincaid), also filed a Response to the Illinois EPA's Motion. The relief sought by Kincaid is similar in nature to that sought by the Respondents.

8. At the time of the May 24 pre-hearing phone conference, the Board and the Hearing Officer were both aware of the Illinois EPA's Motion, and the Hearing Officer acknowledged that the earliest that the Board could rule on the motion would be at the June 15 Board meeting.

9. The Illinois EPA notes that Respondents do not object to the Motion, thus it should be granted.

10. As to the Respondents' separate request to alter the Hearing Officer's schedule for pre-filing testimony, the concerns of Respondents can be met by allowing additional time for submission of pre-filed testimony. Specifically, the Illinois EPA proposes that the July 17 pre-filing of testimony deadline be moved to July 24, and the Illinois EPA's pre-filed questions to Respondents remain due on July 31. Allowing

Respondents this additional time for the submission of pre-filed testimony allows the Respondents the same period of time between receipt of pre-filed questions and the second hearing as provided for in the May 24, 2006 Hearing Officer order. This additional time also allows the Board to maintain the current hearing schedule as set forth by the Hearing Officer in her order dated May 4, 2006.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above, the Illinois EPA hereby respectfully requests that should the Board grant the Illinois EPA's Motion to Amend Rulemaking Proposal and extend the date for the submission of pre-filed testimony before the August 12 hearing to no later than July 24, 2006, with no further modification of the Hearing Officer's May 24, 2006 order.

Respectfully submitted,

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION AGENCY

By:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles E. Matoesian  
Assistant Counsel  
Division of Legal Counsel

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gina Roccaforte  
Assistant Counsel  
Division of Legal Counsel

DATED: June 7, 2006

1021 N. Grand Ave., East  
P.O. Box 19276  
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276  
217/782-5544

**BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD**

IN THE MATTER OF: )  
 ) R06-25  
PROPOSED NEW 35 ILL. ADM. CODE 225 ) (Rulemaking – Air)  
CONTROL OF EMISSIONS FROM )  
LARGE COMBUSTION SOURCES (MERCURY) )

**ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY'S MOTION FOR  
LEAVE TO FILE A REPLY TO THE RESPONSE OF KINCAID GENERATION  
LLC TO THE AGENCY'S MOTION TO AMEND RULEMAKING PROPOSAL**

NOW COMES the Proponent, the ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (Illinois EPA or Agency), by its attorneys, and pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 101.500(e), hereby requests that the Illinois Pollution Control Board (Board) grant the Illinois EPA leave to file a Reply to the Response of Kincaid Generation (Kincaid) to the Agency's Motion to Amend Rulemaking Proposal (Response) on the basis that the Reply should be considered by the Board to prevent a material prejudice in the consideration of, and ruling upon, the Illinois EPA's Motion to Amend Rulemaking Proposal. In support of its Reply, the Illinois EPA states as follows:

1. In its Response, Kincaid requests the Board to direct the Hearing Officer to ensure that the prefiling date for rebuttal testimony not occur until 30 days or later after the conclusion of the cross-examination of all proponent's witnesses, that the prefiling date for questions related to the rebuttal testimony not occur until 14 days after the prefiling date for rebuttal testimony, and that the second hearing not begin until 30 days or later after the prefiling date for rebuttal testimony. These requests, if unresponded to, create a material prejudice against the Illinois EPA given the November 17, 2006 deadline for submission of a State plan to the United States Environmental Protection Agency under the Clean Air Mercury Rule.

2. The Illinois EPA notes that Kincaid does not object to the Motion to Amend Rulemaking Proposal, thus it should be granted. However, Kincaid also asks for a modification to the Hearing Officer's schedule for pre-filing testimony, questions, and hearings, and the Illinois EPA must be allowed an opportunity to respond to that separate request.

3. The Illinois EPA's Reply, which is filed contemporaneously with this motion for leave to file, is as short and concise as possible so as to not create any further burden upon the Board than is necessary.

4. The Illinois EPA is filing this motion for leave to file and the Reply as expeditiously as possible. The Illinois EPA received service of the Response on June 6, 2006. This motion and the Reply have been prepared and filed quickly so as not to delay the Board's consideration of the pleadings in this case.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above, the Illinois EPA hereby respectfully requests that the Board grant the Illinois EPA leave to file a Reply to the Response.

Respectfully submitted,

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION AGENCY

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Charles E. Matoesian  
Assistant Counsel  
Division of Legal Counsel

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gina Roccaforte  
Assistant Counsel  
Division of Legal Counsel

ELECTRONIC FILING, RECEIVED, CLERK'S OFFICE, JUNE 7, 2006

DATED: June 7, 2006

1021 N. Grand Ave., East  
P.O. Box 19276  
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276  
217/782-5544

**BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD**

IN THE MATTER OF: )  
 ) R06-25  
PROPOSED NEW 35 ILL. ADM. CODE 225 ) (Rulemaking – Air)  
CONTROL OF EMISSIONS FROM )  
LARGE COMBUSTION SOURCES (MERCURY) )

**ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY'S REPLY TO THE  
RESPONSE OF KINCAID GENERATION, L.L.C. TO THE AGENCY'S  
MOTION TO AMEND RULEMAKING PROPOSAL**

NOW COMES the Proponent, the ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (Illinois EPA or Agency), by its attorneys, and pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 101.500(e), hereby replies to the Response of Kincaid Generation, L.L.C. (Kincaid) to the Agency's Motion to Amend Rulemaking Proposal. In support of its Reply, the Illinois EPA states as follows:

1. On May 4, 2006, the Hearing Officer issued an order that set forth prefiled testimony deadlines and ordered that persons wishing to testify at the second hearing scheduled for August 12, 2006, prefile their testimony by July 17, 2006. *See*, Hearing Officer Order, May 4, 2006.
2. On May 23, 2006, the Illinois EPA filed a Motion to Amend Rulemaking Proposal (Motion), Motion for Leave to File Instanter Amended Testimony of James E. Staudt, Ph.D., and Amended Testimony of James E. Staudt, Ph.D.
3. On May 24, 2006, a pre-hearing phone conference was held to attempt to identify and limit issues of disagreement among the participants to promote efficient use of hearing time. *See*, Hearing Officer Order, May 24, 2006. One point of discussion included the necessity of the Amended Testimony of James E. Staudt, Ph.D., to support the Illinois EPA's Motion. The Motion is, at the earliest, scheduled for consideration by the Board at the June 15 Board meeting.

4. The Hearing Officer granted the Illinois EPA's Motion for Leave to File Instanter Amended Testimony of James E. Staudt, Ph.D., and allowed the filing of the Amended Testimony of James E. Staudt, Ph.D.

5. On June 6, 2006, Kincaid filed its Response to the Illinois EPA's Motion. While the Respondents did not object to the Motion, they requested the Board to direct the Hearing Officer to ensure that the prefiling date for rebuttal testimony not occur until 30 days or later after the conclusion of the cross-examination of all proponent's witnesses, that the prefiling date for questions related to the rebuttal testimony not occur until 14 days after the prefiling date for rebuttal testimony, and that the second hearing not begin until 30 days or later after the prefiling date for rebuttal testimony.

6. On June 6, 2006, Ameren Energy Generating Company, AmerenEnergy Resources Generating Company, and Electric Energy, Inc., (Ameren, collectively) also filed a Response to IEPA Motion to Amend Proposal. Ameren also did not object to the Illinois EPA's Motion. Rather, Ameren requested that should the Board allow the amended proposal, that the Board also extend the date for submitting pre-filed testimony before the August 12 hearing to no earlier than July 28.

7. On June 6, 2006, Dynegy and Midwestern Generations also filed a Response to the Illinois EPA's Motion. The relief sought in that response is similar in nature to that sought by Kincaid.

8. At the time of the May 24 pre-hearing phone conference, the Board and the Hearing Officer were both aware of the Illinois EPA's Motion to Amend Rulemaking Proposal, and the Hearing Officer acknowledged that the earliest that the Board could rule on the motion would be at the June 15 Board meeting.

9. The Illinois EPA notes that Kincaid does not object to the Motion, thus it should be granted.

10. As to Kincaid's separate request to alter the Hearing Officer's schedule for pre-filing testimony, questions, and hearings, the concerns of Kincaid can be met by allowing additional time for submission of pre-filed testimony. Specifically, the Illinois EPA proposes that the July 17 pre-filing of testimony deadline be moved to July 24, and the Illinois EPA's pre-filed questions to Respondents remain due on July 31. Allowing Respondents this additional time for the submission of pre-filed testimony allows the Respondents the same period of time between receipt of pre-filed questions and the second hearing as provided for in the May 24, 2006 Hearing Officer order. This additional time also allows the Board to maintain the current hearing schedule as set forth by the Hearing Officer in her order dated May 4, 2006.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above, the Illinois EPA hereby respectfully requests that should the Board grant the Illinois EPA's Motion to Amend Rulemaking Proposal and extend the date for the submission of pre-filed testimony before the August 12 hearing to no later than July 24, 2006, with no further modification of the Hearing Officer's May 24, 2006 order.

Respectfully submitted,

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION AGENCY

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Charles E. Matoesian  
Assistant Counsel  
Division of Legal Counsel

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gina Roccaforte  
Assistant Counsel  
Division of Legal Counsel

DATED: June 7, 2006

1021 N. Grand Ave., East  
P.O. Box 19276  
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276  
217/782-5544

**BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD**

IN THE MATTER OF: )  
 ) R06-25  
PROPOSED NEW 35 ILL. ADM. CODE 225 ) (Rulemaking – Air)  
CONTROL OF EMISSIONS FROM )  
LARGE COMBUSTION SOURCES (MERCURY) )

**ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY'S MOTION FOR  
LEAVE TO FILE A REPLY TO THE RESPONSE OF DYNEGY AND MIDWEST  
GENERATIONS TO THE AGENCY'S MOTION TO AMEND RULEMAKING  
PROPOSAL**

NOW COMES the Proponent, the ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (Illinois EPA or Agency), by its attorneys, and pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 101.500(e), hereby requests that the Illinois Pollution Control Board (Board) grant the Illinois EPA leave to file a Reply to the Response of Dynegy and Midwest Generations (Respondents) to the Agency's Motion to Amend Rulemaking Proposal (Response) on the basis that the Reply should be considered by the Board to prevent a material prejudice in the consideration of, and ruling upon, the Illinois EPA's Motion to Amend Rulemaking Proposal. In support of its Reply, the Illinois EPA states as follows:

1. In their Response, the Respondents request the Board to direct the Hearing Officer to redesignate the August hearing to be for the conclusion of the Proponent's case and direct the Hearing Officer to set a date at least 30 days after the end of the August hearing for the opponents to submit their prepared testimony and schedule other hearings or deadlines as the Hearing Officer determines to be appropriate. These requests, if unresponded to, create a material prejudice against the Illinois EPA given the November 17, 2006 deadline for submission of a State plan to the United States Environmental Protection Agency under the Clean Air Mercury Rule.

2. The Illinois EPA notes that Respondents do not object to the Motion to Amend Rulemaking Proposal, thus it should be granted. However, Respondents also ask for a modification to the Hearing Officer's schedule for pre-filing testimony, questions, and hearings, and the Illinois EPA must be allowed an opportunity to respond to that separate request.

3. The Illinois EPA's Reply, which is filed contemporaneously with this motion for leave to file, is as short and concise as possible so as to not create any further burden upon the Board than is necessary.

4. The Illinois EPA is filing this motion for leave to file and the Reply as expeditiously as possible. The Illinois EPA received service of the Response on June 6, 2006. This motion and the Reply have been prepared and filed quickly so as not to delay the Board's consideration of the pleadings in this case.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above, the Illinois EPA hereby respectfully requests that the Board grant the Illinois EPA leave to file a Reply to the Response.

Respectfully submitted,

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION AGENCY

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Charles E. Matoesian  
Assistant Counsel  
Division of Legal Counsel

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gina Roccaforte  
Assistant Counsel  
Division of Legal Counsel

ELECTRONIC FILING, RECEIVED, CLERK'S OFFICE, JUNE 7, 2006

DATED: June 7, 2006

1021 N. Grand Ave., East  
P.O. Box 19276  
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276  
217/782-5544

**BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD**

IN THE MATTER OF: )  
 ) R06-25  
PROPOSED NEW 35 ILL. ADM. CODE 225 ) (Rulemaking – Air)  
CONTROL OF EMISSIONS FROM )  
LARGE COMBUSTION SOURCES (MERCURY) )

**ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY'S REPLY TO THE  
RESPONSE OF DYNEGY AND MIDWEST GENERATIONS TO THE  
AGENCY'S MOTION TO AMEND RULEMAKING PROPOSAL**

NOW COMES the Proponent, the ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (Illinois EPA or Agency), by its attorneys, and pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 101.500(e), hereby replies to the Response of Dynegy and Midwest Generations (Respondents) to the Agency's Motion to Amend Rulemaking Proposal. In support of its Reply, the Illinois EPA states as follows:

1. On May 4, 2006, the Hearing Officer issued an order that set forth prefiled testimony deadlines and ordered that persons wishing to testify at the second hearing scheduled for August 12, 2006, prefile their testimony by July 17, 2006. *See*, Hearing Officer Order, May 4, 2006.
2. On May 23, 2006, the Illinois EPA filed a Motion to Amend Rulemaking Proposal (Motion), Motion for Leave to File Instanter Amended Testimony of James E. Staudt, Ph.D., and Amended Testimony of James E. Staudt, Ph.D.
3. On May 24, 2006, a pre-hearing phone conference was held to attempt to identify and limit issues of disagreement among the participants to promote efficient use of hearing time. *See*, Hearing Officer Order, May 24, 2006. One point of discussion included the necessity of the Amended Testimony of James E. Staudt, Ph.D., to support the Illinois EPA's Motion. The Motion is, at the earliest, scheduled for consideration by the Board at the June 15 Board meeting.

4. The Hearing Officer granted the Illinois EPA's Motion for Leave to File Instanter Amended Testimony of James E. Staudt, Ph.D., and allowed the filing of the Amended Testimony of James E. Staudt, Ph.D.

5. On June 6, 2006, Respondents filed their Response to the Illinois EPA's Motion. While the Respondents did not object to the Motion, they requested the Board to direct the Hearing Officer to redesignate the August hearing to be for the conclusion of the Proponent's case and direct the Hearing Officer to set a date at least 30 days after the end of the August hearing for the opponents to submit their prepared testimony and schedule other hearings or deadlines as the Hearing Officer determines to be appropriate.

6. On June 6, 2006, Ameren Energy Generating Company, AmerenEnergy Resources Generating Company, and Electric Energy, Inc., (Ameren, collectively) also filed a Response to IEPA Motion to Amend Proposal. Ameren also did not object to the Illinois EPA's Motion. Rather, Ameren requested that should the Board allow the amended proposal, that the Board also extend the date for submitting pre-filed testimony before the August 12 hearing to no earlier than July 28.

7. On June 6, 2006, Kincaid Generation, LLC (Kincaid), also filed a Response to the Illinois EPA's Motion. The relief sought by Kincaid is similar in nature to that sought by the Respondents.

8. At the time of the May 24 pre-hearing phone conference, the Board and the Hearing Officer were both aware of the Illinois EPA's Motion to Amend Rulemaking Proposal, and the Hearing Officer acknowledged that the earliest that the Board could rule on the motion would be at the June 15 Board meeting.

9. The Illinois EPA notes that Respondents do not object to the Motion, thus it should be granted.

10. As to the Respondents' separate request to alter the Hearing Officer's schedule for pre-filing testimony, questions, and hearings, the concerns of Respondents can be met by allowing additional time for submission of pre-filed testimony. Specifically, the Illinois EPA proposes that the July 17 pre-filing of testimony deadline be moved to July 24, and the Illinois EPA's pre-filed questions to Respondents remain due on July 31. Allowing Respondents this additional time for the submission of pre-filed testimony allows the Respondents the same period of time between receipt of pre-filed questions and the second hearing as provided for in the May 24, 2006 Hearing Officer order. This additional time also allows the Board to maintain the current hearing schedule as set forth by the Hearing Officer in her order dated May 4, 2006.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above, the Illinois EPA hereby respectfully requests that should the Board grant the Illinois EPA's Motion to Amend Rulemaking Proposal and extend the date for the submission of pre-filed testimony before the August 12 hearing to no later than July 24, 2006, with no further modification of the Hearing Officer's May 24, 2006 order.

Respectfully submitted,

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION AGENCY

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Charles E. Matoesian  
Assistant Counsel  
Division of Legal Counsel

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gina Roccaforte  
Assistant Counsel  
Division of Legal Counsel

ELECTRONIC FILING, RECEIVED, CLERK'S OFFICE, JUNE 7, 2006

DATED: June 7, 2006

1021 N. Grand Ave., East  
P.O. Box 19276  
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276  
217/782-5544

**SERVICE LIST 06-25**

Marie Tipsord  
Hearing Officer  
Illinois Pollution Control Board  
James R. Thompson Center  
100 West Randolph St., Suite 11-500  
Chicago, IL 60601-3218

Bill S. Forcade  
Katherine M. Rahill  
Jenner & Block LLP  
One IBM Plaza  
Chicago, IL 60611

S. David Farris  
Environmental, Health and Safety  
Manager  
Office of Public Utilities  
City of Springfield  
201 East Lake Shore Drive  
Springfield, IL 62757

Keith I. Harley  
Chicago Legal Clinic  
205 West Monroe Street, 4th Floor  
Chicago, IL 60606

Katherine D. Hodge  
N. LaDonna Driver  
Hodge Dwyer Zeman  
3150 Roland Avenue  
Post Office Box 5776  
Springfield, IL 62705-5776

Bruce Nilles  
Attorney  
Sierra Club  
122 W. Washington Ave., Suite 830  
Madison, WI 53703

James T. Harrington  
David L. Rieser  
McGuire Woods LLP  
77 West Wacker, Suite 4100  
Chicago, IL 60601

William A. Murray  
Special Assistant Corporation Counsel  
Office of Public Utilities  
800 East Monroe  
Springfield, IL 62757

Faith E. Bugel  
Howard A. Lerner  
Meleah Geertsma  
Environmental Law and Policy Center  
35 East Wacker Drive  
Suite 1300  
Chicago, IL 60601

Christopher W. Newcomb  
Karaganis, White & Magel, Ltd.  
414 North Orleans Street  
Suite 810  
Chicago, IL 60610

Kathleen C. Bassi  
Sheldon A. Zabel  
Stephen J. Bonebrake  
Joshua R. More  
Glenna L. Gilbert  
Schiff Hardin LLP  
6600 Sears Tower  
233 South Wacker Drive  
Chicago, IL 60606

James W. Ingram  
Senior Corporate Counsel  
Dynergy Midwest Generation, Inc.  
1000 Louisiana, Suite 5800  
Houston, TX 77002

STATE OF ILLINOIS )  
 ) SS  
COUNTY OF SANGAMON )  
 )

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, an attorney, state that I have served electronically the attached ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLIES TO THE RESPONSES OF DYNEGY AND MIDWEST GENERATIONS, AMEREN ENERGY GENERATING COMPANY, AND KINCAID GENERATION LLC TO THE AGENCY'S MOTION TO AMEND RULEMAKING PROPOSAL and REPLIES TO THE RESPONSE OF DYNEGY AND MIDWEST GENERATIONS, AMEREN ENERGY GENERATING COMPANY, AND KINCAID GENERATION LLC TO THE AGENCY'S MOTION TO AMEND RULEMAKING PROPOSAL upon the following person:

Dorothy Gunn  
Clerk  
Illinois Pollution Control Board  
James R. Thompson Center  
100 West Randolph St., Suite 11-500  
Chicago, IL 60601-3218

and mailing it by first-class mail from Springfield, Illinois, with sufficient postage affixed to the following persons:

**SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST**

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION AGENCY,

---

Gina Roccaforte  
Assistant Counsel  
Division of Legal Counsel

Dated: June 7, 2006  
1021 North Grand Avenue East  
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276  
(217) 782-5544